

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 06/26/2001 John J. Halloran 301502.1000-000 9058 09/891,879 **EXAMINER** 30407 7590 04/30/2004 **BOWDITCH & DEWEY, LLP** CANFIELD, ROBERT 161 WORCESTER ROAD PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT P.O. BOX 9320 FRAMINGHAM, MA 01701-9320 3635

DATE MAILED: 04/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		_	
· ·		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/891,879	HALLORAN, JOHN J.
	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit
		Robert J Canfield	3635
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover shell twith the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) o will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro , cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)[\inf	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 F</u>	ebruary 2004.	
2a)⊠			
3)			
Disposition of Claims			
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠			
Applicat	ion Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 15 August 2003 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 			
Attachmen			
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infori	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/891,879 Page 2

Art Unit: 3635

1. This Office action is in response to the amendment filed 02/06/04. Claims 1-20 remain pending.

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 12, 15, 17 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 4,351,415 to Kita.

Kita provides a building in the form of an elevator structure 11, which inherently has a support structure. Kita teaches delivering a fluid to a hydraulic support chamber 14 to elevate the building relative to a foundation and lowering the building 11 and controlling delivery of the fluid from support chamber 14 to an electrical controller 20 (see figure 2 and its description) which distributes power generated from turbine 17.

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/891,879 Page 3

Art Unit: 3635

5. Claims 1, 4-6 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 4,351,415 to Kita.

Kita provides a building in the form of an elevator structure 11, which inherently has a support structure. Kita teaches delivering a fluid to a hydraulic support chamber 14 to elevate the building relative to a foundation and lowering the building 11 and controlling delivery of the fluid from support chamber 14 to an electrical controller 20 (see figure 2 and its description) which distributes power generated from turbine 17. The description of figure 2 discusses reservoir 19, valve 16 and hydraulic pumps. Kita provides connecting link 13 and conduit 15.

Kita fails to provide that the building support structure includes columns and walls. The examiner takes Official Notice that is was well known at the time of the invention to form the structure of an elevator from columns and walls. It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one having ordinary skill in the art to have formed the structure 11 of Kita from a known construction system to take advantage of known techniques.

As to claim 5, Kita also fails to provide a limited lateral restraint system. The examiner takes Official Notice that is was well known at the time of the invention to provide a limited lateral displacement system coupled to an elevator to allow elevation changes of the elevator structure while maintaining vertical orientation. It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one having ordinary skill in the art to have provided the structure 11 of Kita with a limited

Application/Control Number: 09/891,879 Page 4

Art Unit: 3635

E.

lateral displacement system coupled to an elevator to allow elevation changes of the elevator structure while maintaining vertical orientation.

6. Applicant's arguments filed 02/06/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Kita fails to teach or suggest the use of a building including the weight of its frame and walls for the generation of power. This is not found persuasive. As noted in the above rejections the structure 11 of Kita meets the limitation of a building structure. Further, the examiner has taken Official Notice that is well known to form such structures from columns and walls. The summary of the invention of Kita clearly describes that power is generated as the elevator descends and forces discharge of the fluid from the chamber 14 to a generator.

- 7. Upon further consideration there is no provisional obvious-type double patenting with co-pending application serial number 10/183061.
- 8. Claims 2, 3, 7, 13, 14, 18 and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. Claims 8-11 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 09/891,879

Art Unit: 3635

ð

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert J Canfield whose telephone number is 703-308-2482. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman can be reached on 703-308-0839. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/891,879

Art Unit: 3635

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert J Canfield Primary Examiner Art Unit 3635

04/27/04

Page 6